

C–H Activation

Ligand-Enabled Nickel-Catalyzed Redox-Relay Migratory Hydroarylation of Alkenes with Arylborons

Yuli He, Chuang Liu, Lei Yu, and Shaolin Zhu*

Dedicated to the 70th anniversary of the Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry

Abstract: A redox-relay migratory hydroarylation of isomeric mixtures of olefins with arylboronic acids catalyzed by nickel complexes bearing diamine ligands is described. A range of structurally diverse 1,1-diarylalkanes, including those containing a 1,1-diarylated quaternary carbon, were obtained in excellent yields and with high regioselectivity. Preliminary experimental evidence supports the proposed non-dissociated chainwalking of aryl-nickel(II)-hydride species along the alkyl chain of alkenes before selective reductive elimination at a benzylic position. A catalyst loading as low as 0.5 mol% proved to be sufficient in large-scale synthesis while retaining high reactivity, highlighting the practical value of this transformation.

Introduction

Selective introduction of a functional group onto an inert sp^3 carbon is of considerable synthetic utility and will allow access to structures with a more concise synthetic route or from more readily available starting materials.^[1] To distinguish between multiple, yet similar, inert sp^3 C–H bonds, a pre-installed polar directing group nearby is necessary in most reported processes and this limits their generality and applicability. Given the abundance and easy accessibility of alkene feedstock, remote functionalization through alkene isomerization and sequential selective cross-coupling has recently emerged as an attractive alternative in which a non-polar C=C double bond in an arbitrary position is used as a traceless directing group and the distant C–H bond is selectively functionalized.^[2–6] Previously, starting from isomeric olefins and a wide variety of electrophilic coupling partners, nickel^[7] catalyzed reductive remote hydrofunctionalization^[6c–e,g–i,l,m,o–u,x,z] has been developed via proposed nickel hydride intermediates^[8,9] (Figure 1a). Despite robust




Figure 1. Design plan: redox-relay migratory hydroarylation via aryl-nickel(II)-hydride in situ generation.

investigation, these methods are generally limited by the need of a stoichiometric amount of extra reductant.

Distinct from the previously reported nickel-catalyzed reductive remote hydroarylation systems,^[6c,h,s,z] two precedents of redox-neutral olefin remote hydroarylation have been reported by Lee^[6a] and by Hartwig.^[6b] However, the scope of the arylation is limited to only trifluoromethylarenes or heteroarenes with low pK_a values. To address these shortcomings, we speculated that oxidative addition of a ligated nickel(0) with an alcohol^[10c–f] followed by trans-

[*] Y. He, Prof. S. Zhu

State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Advanced Organic Materials, Chemistry and Biomedicine Innovation Center (ChemBIC), School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University
Nanjing, 210093 (China)
E-mail: shaolinzhu@nju.edu.cn
Homepage: <https://hysz.nju.edu.cn/slzhu/>

C. Liu, Prof. L. Yu
School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Yangzhou University
Yangzhou, 225002 (China)

Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under:
<https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202001742>.

metalation with commercial available arylboronic acids would provide rapid access to a broad range of aryl-nickel(II)-hydride species, which could also serve as a platform for remote hydroarylation of alkenes (Figure 1b). Specifically, if the aryl-nickel(II)-hydride generated in situ is active enough to insert into an isomer or mixture of isomers of the olefin substrate and promote a fast and reversible chain-walking process along the hydrocarbon chain prior to a selective reductive elimination, then a convergent and regioselective migratory arylation instead of hydroarylation at the *ipso* position^[10,11] would be achieved. Ideally, depending on the choice of the ligand, selective reductive elimination at the benzylic position would provide a complementary approach to the synthesis of a wide variety of 1,1-diarylalkanes as important pharmacophores^[12] (Figure 1c). Unlike our previously proposed reductive nickel(I)-hydride catalyst system,^[6c,h,s] this proposed aryl-nickel(II)-hydride process suggests that: (1) no extra reductant is required, (2) chain-walking happens with the participation of the coupling partners to form the active catalyst, aryl-nickel(II)-hydride, (3) chain-walking proceeds efficiently with no dissociation of the aryl-nickel(II)-hydride catalyst from the alkenes.


A detailed description of our proposed pathway is outlined in Figure 2. Initially, the nickel(0) species (**I**) is formed from a Ni^{II} precursor with a catalytic amount of arylboronic acid. It then undergoes a reversible oxidative addition with the alcohol to generate a nickel(II) hydride adduct (**II**), followed by transmetalation with arylboronic acid (**2**) to form the active aryl-nickel(II)-hydride species (**III**) which inserts into the alkene (**1a**) to generate an alkyl-nickel-aryl intermediate (**IV**). This readily undergoes fast and reversible β -hydride elimination to afford the isomeric complex (**V**). A series of isomeric alkyl-nickel(II)-aryl species (**IV**, **VI**, **VII**, ...) is then accessed through a series of rapid and reversible iterative β -hydride elimination/migratory reinsertions. In

particular, if selective reductive elimination of benzyl-nickel(II)-aryl intermediate (**VII**) is favorable relative to other alkyl-nickel(II)-aryl isomers, the benzylic arylation product could be obtained with high regioselectivity, along with a nickel(0) species (**I**) thus closing the catalytic cycle. There are three major challenges to such a mechanistically unique process. First, the aryl-nickel(II)-hydride species must be sufficiently stable to avoid the undesired irreversible reductive elimination producing an arene. Second, the aryl-nickel(II)-hydride species must be sufficiently reactive to promote a fast and reversible chain-walking process. Third, the reductive elimination of the alkyl-nickel(II)-aryl intermediate must be highly selective, a less reactive alkyl-nickel(II)-aryl species would be converted into a more reactive alkyl-nickel(II)-aryl intermediate by chain-walking.

Results and Discussion

Based on this hypothesis, we first examined the proposed remote hydroarylation with 4-phenyl-1-butene (**1a**) and (4-methoxyphenyl)boronic acid (**2a**). After systematic manipulation of the reaction parameters and ligand evaluation, the desired migratory arylation product (**3a**) was isolated in good yield (84%) as a single isomer using a combination of bench-stable Ni(OTf)₂ as precatalyst, methanol as hydride source, and a simple diamine (**L1**) as ligand^[13] (Table 1, entry 1). Ni(cod)₂ was originally explored as a nickel source (entry 2), but the air-stable nickel(II) precatalysts were also effective. Notably, the ligand **L1** used is a *cis*- and *trans*- mixture ($\approx 3:1$ *cis:trans*), in which the *cis*-isomer (**L2**) reacted effectively

Table 1: Variation of reaction parameters.



Entry	Variation from standard conditions	Yield [%] ^[a]	rr ^[b]
1	none	99 (84)	> 99:1
2	Ni(cod) ₂ instead of Ni(OTf) ₂	91	> 99:1
3	L2 instead of L1	99	> 99:1
4	L3 instead of L1	71	89:11
5	L4 instead of L1	57	> 99:1
6	P ^t Bu ₃ or L5 instead of L1	NR	–
7	no MeOH	8	> 99:1
8	EtOH instead of MeOH	83	> 99:1
9	THF instead of Tol	76	> 99:1
10	MeOH as solvent	NR	–
11	CsF instead of K ₃ PO ₄	77	> 99:1
12	1.5 equiv 2a	78	> 99:1
13	70 °C	85	> 99:1

[a] Yields determined by GC using *n*-dodecane as the internal standard, the yield in parentheses is the isolated yield. [b] Regioselectivities (rr) determined by GC and GCMS analysis. Tol = toluene; THF = tetrahydrofuran; PMP = 4-methoxyphenyl.






Figure 2. Envisioned catalytic cycle.

(entry 3) but the pure *trans*-isomer (**L3**) led to a significantly lower yield and selectivity (entry 4). Since a mixture (**L1**) is much cheaper than pure *cis*-isomer (**L2**), it was chosen for subsequent investigations. Another diamine ligand (**L4**) could also facilitate the migratory arylation, although only a moderate yield was obtained (entry 5). The electron-rich phosphine ligand P^tBu₃ or the 6,6'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine ligand (**L5**), which were previously used in reductive NiH catalyst systems,^[6e,h,s,z] gave no reaction. As expected, control experiments revealed that the alcohol is crucial. Poor conversion was observed in the absence of methanol (entry 7); and ethanol was less effective (entry 8). Use of other solvents such as THF led to only a moderate yield (entry 9). However, methanol was shown to be an unsuitable solvent (entry 10). Inferior results were obtained when replacing CsF as base (entry 11) or using 1.5 equiv of the arylboronic acid (entry 12) or conducting the reaction at 70 °C (entry 13).

With the optimal conditions in hand, we sought to define the scope of the arylboronic acid partner (Table 2). A wide range of arylboronic acids including both electron-rich (**2a–2e**) and electron-deficient (**2f–2l**) arylboronic acids, are accommodated well. A variety of functional groups are also readily accommodated, including ethers (**2a, 2b**, and **2d–2h**),

Table 2: Scope of arylboronic acid coupling partner.^[a]



[a] Yield under each product refers to isolated yield of purified product (0.5 mmol scale, average of two runs), > 99:1 regioisomeric ratio (rr) unless otherwise noted. [b] 6.0 equiv MeOH used. [c] **L4** used. [d] 1.0 equiv K₃PO₄ used.


amines (**2c, 2d**), a trifluoromethyl group (**2i**), an aryl chloride (**2j**), an aryl fluoride (**2k**), an ester (**2l**), a silane (**2m**), and alkyl groups (**2n, 2o**). Notably, *ortho*-substituted arylboronic acids (**2o, 2q**) could also be coupled in good yields while the corresponding *ortho*-substituted aryl halides could not be coupled using our previous reductive chain-walking protocols.^[6e,h] Moreover, heterocycles such as indole (**2s**) are also competent coupling partners.

The scope of the alkenes was also explored (Table 3). As shown in Table 3a, an array of terminal aliphatic alkenes with a variety of substituents on the remote aromatic ring, including both electron-withdrawing (**1c–1g**) and electron-donating (**1h–1k**) substituents underwent migratory hydroarylation smoothly. Moreover, a series of heterocycles frequently found in the medicinally active agents in place of the aryl group, including furan (**1l**), benzofuran (**1m**), and indole (**1n, 1o**), were shown to be viable substrates. The reaction is insensitive to the length of the chain between the C=C bond and the remote aryl group, regardless of the starting position of the C=C bond (**1a, 1p–1r**). Notably, an alkene (**1s**) with an internal branching, for example, a tertiary sp³ carbon between the C=C double bond and the remote aryl group, along the alkyl chain was also a suitable substrate. Moreover, 1,1-disubstituted alkenes (**1t, 1u**) could also be employed. In a more striking example, a 1,1-disubstituted alkene (**1v**) containing a pre-existing tertiary carbon at the benzylic position can also undergo migratory hydroarylation to produce a product with a challenging quaternary carbon at the benzylic position.

Unactivated aliphatic internal alkenes are also competent substrates, regardless of the *E/Z* configuration or the starting position of the C=C bond (Table 3b, **1w–1a'**) and even a trisubstituted internal alkene could be well-tolerated (**1a'**). To get better yields, CsF is used as base in most cases. Additionally, the current transformation could also be applied to styrenes themselves, producing the desired 1,1-diaryllalkanes exclusively (Table 3c). Both *E* (**1b'**) and *Z* (**1c'**) styrenes, as well as styrenes with a variety of substituents on the remote aryl ring (**1f', 1g'**) are suitable substrates. Remarkably, with α -alkyl substituted styrenes (**1d'–1g'**), benzylic arylation exclusively producing the challenging products bearing a quaternary carbon were still preferred in all cases.

The practical value of this transformation was further highlighted by large-scale synthesis using lower catalyst loading (Scheme 1a). When conducting the reaction on the 10 mmol scale, it could proceed to completion with only 0.5 mol% catalyst. By treating Ni(OTf)₂ and **L1** in methanol, a dark yellow air-stable solid Ni(OTf)₂(**L2**)₂ was obtained.^[14] Accordingly, with 0.5 mol% loading of this stable precatalyst, the standard reaction on 10 mmol-scale also proceeds well. Further experiments were conducted to assess the reactivity of different olefins. As shown in Scheme 1b, competition experiments indicate that terminal alkenes are more reactive than internal alkenes.

As suggested in the initial mechanistic proposal, a stoichiometric amount of alcohol could provide the alcohol O–H group as a hydride source. To verify this hypothesis, isotopic labeling experiments of an alkene (**1h'**) and arylboroxine (**2T**)

Table 3: Scope of alkene coupling component.^[a]

[a] Yield under each product refers to isolated yield of purified product (0.5 mmol scale, average of two runs), > 99:1 regioisomeric ratio (rr) unless otherwise noted. [b] 0.25 mmol **1h** used. [c] Diastereomeric ratio (dr) determined by crude ¹H NMR analysis. [d] 2.5 equiv **2a** used. [e] 2.5 equiv **2a**, 2.0 equiv CsF, and 4.0 equiv MeOH used.

were carried out using CD₃OH or CH₃OD, respectively (Scheme 1c). No deuterium incorporation was observed in **3a** when CD₃OH was used. However, deuterium incorporation in **3a-D** was observed when CH₃OD was used. These results indicate that the nickel-hydride intermediate was initially generated from the O–H group of the alcohol instead of the C–H bond of the methanol.

To gain more insight into the chain-walking process, the alkene (**1e**) was subjected to the reaction conditions in the absence of arylboronic acid but using more reactive Ni(cod)₂ instead of pre-catalyst Ni(OTf)₂ and CH₃OD instead of CH₃OH. After 24 hours, with or without base, the alkene **1e** was fully recovered without any observation of isomerization or H/D exchange (Scheme 1d). These results are consistent with a mechanism in which chain-walking happens only with the participation of the cross-coupling partner through an aryl-nickel(II)-hydride. Further experiments were conducted to assess whether the nickel-hydride catalyst disengages

during the chain-walking process. Two crossover experiments using a 1:1 mixture of deuterium-labeled olefin and undeuterated olefin were carried out. Unlike in our previous proposed Ni^IH catalyst system,^[6c,h,s] no H/D scrambled crossover products were obtained (Scheme 1e). This observation indicates that as the nickel-hydride catalyst proceeds through the iterative β-hydride elimination/migratory insertion, no dissociation of the catalyst from the olefin substrate occurs throughout the relay process. To support the chain-walking proposal further, alkene (*R*)-**1s** containing a pre-existing stereogenic center in the alkyl chain was evaluated (Scheme 1f). Preservation of the chiral integrity was observed in this case which is also consistent with the non-dissociated chain-walking mechanism, in contrast to the partial racemization of this stereocenter under our previous reductive chain-walking conditions.^[6c,h]

- mann, E. J. Johnson, P. J. Chirik, *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 2716; d) X. Chen, Z. Cheng, J. Guo, Z. Lu, *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 3939.
- [6] For recent Ni-catalyzed chain-walking, see: a) W.-C. Lee, C.-H. Wang, Y.-H. Lin, W.-C. Shih, T.-G. Ong, *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 5358; b) J. S. Bair, Y. Schramm, A. G. Sergeev, E. Clot, O. Eisenstein, J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 13098; c) I. Buslov, J. Becouse, S. Mazza, M. Montandon-Clerc, X. Hu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 14523; *Angew. Chem.* **2015**, *127*, 14731; d) I. Buslov, F. Song, X. Hu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 12295; *Angew. Chem.* **2016**, *128*, 12483; e) Y. He, Y. Cai, S. Zhu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 1061; f) F. Juliá-Hernández, T. Moragas, J. Cornella, R. Martin, *Nature* **2017**, *545*, 84; g) M. Gaydou, T. Moragas, F. Juliá-Hernández, R. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 12161; h) F. Chen, K. Chen, Y. Zhang, Y. He, Y.-M. Wang, S. Zhu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 13929; i) J. Xiao, Y. He, F. Ye, S. Zhu, *Chem* **2018**, *4*, 1645; j) L. Peng, Y. Li, Y. Li, W. Wang, H. Pang, G. Yin, *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 310; k) L. Peng, Z. Li, G. Yin, *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 1880; l) S.-Z. Sun, M. Börjesson, R. Martin-Montero, R. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 12765; m) Z. Wang, H. Yin, G. C. Fu, *Nature* **2018**, *563*, 379; n) P. Basnet, R. K. Dhungana, S. Thapa, B. Shrestha, S. Kc, J. M. Sears, R. Giri, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 7782; o) F. Zhou, Y. Zhang, X. Xu, S. Zhu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 1754; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 1768; p) J. He, P. Song, X. Xu, S. Zhu, Y. Wang, *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9*, 3253; q) Y. Zhang, X. Xu, S. Zhu, *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*, 1752; r) L. Zhou, C. Zhu, P. Bi, C. Feng, *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 1144; s) Y. Zhang, B. Han, S. Zhu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 13860; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 13998; t) S.-Z. Sun, C. Romano, R. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 16197; u) D. Qian, X. Hu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 18519; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 18690; v) R. K. Dhungana, S. Kc, P. Basnet, V. Aryal, L. J. Chesley, R. Giri, *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9*, 10887; w) K.-J. Jiao, D. Liu, H.-X. Ma, H. Qiu, P. Fang, T.-S. Mei, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201912753>; *Angew. Chem.* **2020**, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.201912753>; x) F. Chen, X. Xu, Y. He, G. Huang, S. Zhu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 5398–5402; *Angew. Chem.* **2020**, *132*, 5436–5440; y) Y. Li, Y. Luo, L. Peng, Y. Li, B. Zhao, W. Wang, H. Pang, R. Bai, Y. Lan, G. Yin, *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11*, 417; z) G. S. Kumar, A. Peshkov, A. Brzozowska, P. Nikolaienko, C. Zhu, M. Rueping, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201915418>; *Angew. Chem.* **2020**, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.201915418>.
- [7] For selected reviews on nickel catalysis, see: a) S. Z. Tasker, E. A. Standley, T. F. Jamison, *Nature* **2014**, *509*, 299; b) “Organonickel Chemistry”: J. Montgomery in *Organometallics in Synthesis* (Ed.: B. H. Lipshutz), Wiley, Hoboken, **2013**, pp. 319–428; c) M. R. Netherton, G. C. Fu, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2004**, *346*, 1525.
- [8] For selected reviews on metal-hydride chemistry, see: a) C. Deutsch, N. Krause, B. H. Lipshutz, *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 2916; b) S. W. M. Crossley, C. Obradors, R. M. Martinez, R. A. Shenvi, *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 8912; c) K. D. Nguyen, B. Y. Park, T. Luong, H. Sato, V. J. Garza, M. J. Krische, *Science* **2016**, *354*, 300; d) N. A. Eberhardt, H. Guan, *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 8373.
- [9] For selected examples about NiH, see: a) J. T. Binder, C. J. Cordier, G. C. Fu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 17003; b) J. Cornella, E. Gómez-Bengoia, R. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 1997; c) C. J. Cordier, R. J. Lundgren, G. C. Fu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 10946; d) I. Pappas, S. Treacy, P. J. Chirik, *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 4105; e) Y. Kuang, D. Anthony, J. Katigbak, F. Marrucci, S. Humagain, T. Diaio, *Chem* **2017**, *3*, 268.
- [10] For Ni-catalyzed hydroarylation of olefins with arylborons or aryl halides, see: a) X. Lu, B. Xiao, Z. Zhang, T. Gong, W. Su, Y. Fu, L. Liu, *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 11129; b) S. A. Green, J. L. M. Matos, A. Yagi, R. A. Shenvi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 12779; c) L.-J. Xiao, L. Cheng, W.-M. Feng, M.-L. Li, J.-H. Xie, Q.-L. Zhou, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 461; *Angew. Chem.* **2018**, *130*, 470; d) H. Lv, L.-J. Xiao, D. Zhao, Q.-L. Zhou, *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 6839; e) Y.-G. Chen, B. Shuai, X.-T. Xu, Y.-Q. Li, Q.-L. Yang, H. Qiu, K. Zhang, P. Fang, T.-S. Mei, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 3395; f) X.-Y. Lv, C. Fan, L.-J. Xiao, J.-H. Xie, Q.-L. Zhou, *CCS Chem.* **2019**, *1*, 328; g) H. Lv, H. Kang, B. Zhou, X. Xue, K. M. Engle, D. Zhao, *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*, 5025; h) S. L. Shevick, C. Obradors, R. A. Shenvi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 12056; i) J. Nguyen, A. Chong, G. Lalic, *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 3231; j) S. Bera, X. Hu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 13854; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 13992.
- [11] For Pd-catalyzed hydroarylation of olefins with arylborons or aryl halides, see: a) K. M. Gligorich, S. A. Cummings, M. S. Sigman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 14193; b) Y. Iwai, K. M. Gligorich, M. S. Sigman, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 3219; *Angew. Chem.* **2008**, *120*, 3263; c) S. M. Podhajsky, Y. Iwai, A. Cook-Sneathen, M. S. Sigman, *Tetrahedron* **2011**, *67*, 4435; d) K. Semba, K. Ariyama, H. Zheng, R. Kameyama, S. Sakaki, Y. Nakao, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 6275; *Angew. Chem.* **2016**, *128*, 6383; e) S. D. Friis, M. T. Pirnot, S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 8372; f) S. D. Friis, M. T. Pirnot, L. N. Dupuis, S. L. Buchwald, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 7242; *Angew. Chem.* **2017**, *129*, 7348; g) R. Matsuura, T. C. Jankins, D. E. Hill, K. S. Yang, G. M. Gallego, S. Yang, M. He, F. Wang, R. P. Marsters, I. McAlpine, K. M. Engle, *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 8363; h) M. K. Armstrong, G. Lalic, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 6173.
- [12] For references on various pharmaceuticals and biologically active molecules containing 1,1-diaryllkanes, see: a) C. J. Hills, S. A. Winter, J. A. Balfour, *Drugs* **1998**, *55*, 813; b) A. L. McRae, K. T. Brady, *Expert Opin. Pharmacother.* **2001**, *2*, 883; c) B. Malhotra, K. Gandelman, R. Sachse, N. Wood, M. C. Michel, *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *16*, 4481; d) D. H. S. Silva, S. C. Davino, S. B. de Moraes Barros, M. Yoshida, *J. Nat. Prod.* **1999**, *62*, 1475.
- [13] Currently, the exact role of the bidentate N-based ligand is still under investigation.
- [14] CCDC 1976289 (Ni(OTf)₂·(L2)₂) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

Manuscript received: February 3, 2020
Revised manuscript received: March 4, 2020
Accepted manuscript online: March 6, 2020
Version of record online: March 25, 2020